

# Category theory background for constraint satisfaction (Part 2)

Charlotte Aten

University of Colorado Boulder

2025 September 23

# Introduction

- These are notes on the category theory background needed to read: Maximilian Hadek, Tomáš Jakl, and Jakub Opršal. “A categorical perspective on constraint satisfaction: The wonderland of adjunctions.” In: *arXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2025). [arXiv: 2503.10353](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.10353) [cs.LG]

# Introduction

- Relational structures as presheaves
- Nerve of a category
- Nerve of a functor
- Discrete Grothendieck construction
- Kan extensions

# Relational structures as presheaves

- A combinatorial graph may be thought of as a set  $V$  of vertices, a set  $E$  of edges, and a pair of maps  $s: E \rightarrow V$  and  $t: E \rightarrow V$  where  $s(e)$  and  $t(e)$  are the source and target of the directed edge  $e$ .
- This can be thought of as a functor from the diagram

$$V \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \end{array} E$$

to the category  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

# Relational structures as presheaves

- We could also think of a graph as a contravariant functor from

$$V \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \end{array} E$$

to the category  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

- That is, a functor  $A: \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .

# Relational structures as presheaves

- We introduce the opposite category in order to think of a graph as a presheaf.
- A  $\mathcal{D}$ -valued presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor of the form  $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .
- These generalize sheaves from geometry.
- A standard example is the sheaf of smooth functions on a manifold  $M$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the lattice of open sets of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is the category of rings, and  $F(U)$  is the ring of smooth functions on an open set  $U$ .

# Relational structures as presheaves

- We can view a (multiply-sorted) relational structure as a functor  $A: \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  in a similar manner by taking  $\mathcal{S}$  to have one object for each relation and one object for each universe.
- Morphisms specify components, as indicated in the binary case for graphs.

# Nerve of a category

- Categories can be thought of as structures in this way.
- Let  $\Delta$  be the category whose objects are the finite chains  $[n] = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and whose morphisms are isotone maps.
- A *simplicial set* is a functor  $A: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .



# Nerve of a category

- Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we may define a functor (the *nerve* of  $\mathcal{C}$ )  $N(\mathcal{C}): \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  by setting  $(N(\mathcal{C}))([n])$  to be the set of all sequences of  $n - 1$  composable morphisms

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_{n-1}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- The morphisms of  $\Delta$  allow us to see the composition and identities of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $N(\mathcal{C})$ .

# Nerve of a functor

- Recall that for any category  $\mathcal{C}$  we have the covariant Yoneda embedding

$$\mathcal{Y}_-: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$$

given by

$$\mathcal{Y}_A(B) = \mathcal{C}(B, A)$$

for objects  $A, B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ .

# Nerve of a functor

- Given a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , the *nerve* of  $F$  is the functor

$$N_F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$$

given by

$$N_F(A): \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

where

$$N_F(A) = \mathcal{Y}_A \circ F^{\text{op}}.$$

# Nerve of a functor

- For an object  $B$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  this means that

$$(N_F(A))(B) = \mathcal{D}(F(B), A).$$

- There is a typo in this definition in the paper.
- What does this have to do with the nerve  $N_{\mathcal{C}}$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$ ?

# Nerve of a functor

- Let  $F: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$  be the inclusion functor from the simplex category  $\Delta$  to the category of categories  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .
- In this case we have that the nerve of  $F$  is a functor

$$N_F: \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow [\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set}].$$

# Nerve of a functor

- By definition we have that

$$N_F(\mathcal{C}) : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

is given by

$$(N_F(\mathcal{C}))([n]) = \text{Cat}(F([n]), \mathcal{C}) = [[n], \mathcal{C}].$$

- This says that

$$(N_F(\mathcal{C}))([n]) = (N(\mathcal{C}))([n]).$$

- We find that  $N_F(\mathcal{C}) = N(\mathcal{C})$ , so this generalizes the nerve construction.

# Nerve of a functor

- What would it mean for  $N_F$  to have a left adjoint in this case?
- Suppose that  $G \dashv N_F$  with  $G: [\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}] \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ .
- We have a natural bijection

$$\text{Cat}(G(X), Y) \cong [\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](X, N_F(Y))$$

where  $X$  is a simplicial set and  $Y$  is a category.

# Nerve of a functor

- This means that we have a natural bijection

$$[G(X), Y] \cong [\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](X, N_F(Y)).$$

- That is, functors from the category  $G(X)$  made from the simplicial set  $X$  are in bijective correspondence with simplicial set morphisms from  $X$  to the nerve of the category  $Y$ .



# Nerve of a functor

- This means that we have a natural bijection

$$[G(X), Y] \cong [\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}](X, N_F(Y)).$$

- The category  $G(X)$  is the category freely determined by the “diagram”  $X$ . It is the most general category containing morphisms whose composition obeys the rules indicated by  $X$ .
- One might say  $G(X)$  is the “free category” over  $X$ .

# Discrete Grothendieck construction

## Definition (Grothendieck construction)

Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a functor. Define  $\int F$  to be the category where  $\mathrm{Ob}(\int F)$  consists of pairs  $(s, a)$  where  $s \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $a \in F(s)$  and

$$\left( \int F \right) ((s, a), (t, b)) = \{ f: s \rightarrow t \mid (F(f))(a) = b \}.$$

The *Grothendieck construction*  $\mathrm{gr}(F)$  is the functor

$$\mathrm{gr}(F): \int F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

given by  $(\mathrm{gr}(F))(s, a) = s$ .

# Discrete Grothendieck construction

- Let's consider the case of a graph  $F: \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .
- The category  $\int F$  has objects  $(V, v)$  where  $v \in F(V)$  and  $(E, e)$  where  $e \in F(E)$ .
- There are morphisms  $(E, e) \rightarrow (V, v)$  which send edges to their sources and targets.
- The functor  $\text{gr}(F)$  tells us whether an object is a vertex or an edge.

# Kan extensions

## Definition ((Global) Kan extension)

Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  be a functor. Given another category  $\mathcal{D}$ , let

$$F^*: [\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{D}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$$

be given by

$$F^*(G) = G \circ F.$$

A left adjoint to  $F^*$  is the *left Kan extension*  $\mathrm{Lan}_F$  along  $F$ . A right adjoint to  $F^*$  is the *right Kan extension*  $\mathrm{Ran}_F$  along  $F$ .

■ That is,  $F^* = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(F)$  and

$$\mathrm{Lan}_F \dashv \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(F) \dashv \mathrm{Ran}_F.$$

# Kan extensions

- The existence of  $\mathrm{Lan}_F$  means that there is a bijection

$$[\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{D}](\mathrm{Lan}_F(X), Y) \cong [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}](X, F^*(Y))$$

which is natural in functors  $X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $Y: \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .

- We could fix a functor  $X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and ask that there exists a functor  $\mathrm{Lan}_F(X): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that this isomorphism is still natural in  $Y$ , even if the left adjoint of  $F^*$  doesn't exist.

# Kan extensions

- A functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  has a colimit if and only if  $\text{Lan}_K(F)$  along  $K: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 1$  exists. The colimit is  $\text{colim}(F) = (\text{Lan}_K(F))(*)$  where  $*$  is the sole object of  $1$ .
- Similarly,  $\text{lim}(F) = (\text{Ran}_K(F))(*)$  when it exists.
- The existence of an adjoint can also be expressed in terms of the existence of a particular Kan extension.

# Kan extensions

## Lemma

*Given a finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , and a finitely complete category  $\mathcal{E}$  we have that  $\mathbf{Lan}_{\mathcal{E}}(F) \dashv \mathbf{Ran}_F$  exists. If  $\mathcal{E}$  is finitely cocomplete then we have the analogous conclusion for  $\mathbf{Lan}_F$ . Both adjoints exist for  $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{Fin}$ .*