Invariants of structures

Charlotte Aten
<u>University</u> of Colorado Boulder

The New York City Category Theory Seminar

2024 December 4

Introduction

- Example: Graphs
- Example: Associativity
- Background story: Bourbaki's structures
- Thesis results
- Structures
- Isomorphism invariant polynomials

Fix a universe

$$A \coloneqq \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$$
.

- For each pair $\{a_i, a_j\} \in \binom{A}{2}$, we have a variable x_{ij} .
- Given a graph $\mathbf{G} = (A, f \subset \binom{A}{2})$, we can evaluate x_{ij} on \mathbf{G} by

$$x_{ij}(\mathbf{G}) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{when } \{a_i, a_j\} \in f \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- That is, x_{ij} acts as the indicator function of the pair $\{a_i, a_j\}$.
- We can form monomials, such as

$$y = x_{12}x_{23}x_{31}$$
.

 $lue{}$ The natural notion of action inherited from the x_{ij} gives us

$$y(\mathbf{G}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \text{ is a clique in } \mathbf{G} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- This property is not invariant under permutations of the names of our vertices.
- A more well-behaved property is that of having a triangle.

This corresponds to the polynomial

$$p = \sum_{\{a_i, a_j, a_k\} \in \binom{A}{3}} x_{ij} x_{ki}.$$

This time we have

$$p(\mathbf{G}) = \#$$
 of triangles in \mathbf{G} .

■ I claim that every property of finite structures can be computed by evaluating at such polynomials.

Fix a universe

$$A \coloneqq \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$$
.

- For each triple $(a_i, a_j, a_k) \in A^3$, we have a variable x_{ijk} .
- Given a ternary relational structure $\mathbf{A} = (A, f \subset A^3)$, we can evaluate x_{iji} on \mathbf{A} by

$$x_{ijk}(\mathbf{A}) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{when } (a_i, a_j, a_k) \in f \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- If $\mathbf{A} = (A, f)$ is a magma in the sense that f is the graph of a binary operation $A^2 \to A$ with $(a_i, a_j, a_k) \in f$ meaning that $f(a_i, a_j) = a_k$, then we can express associativity in terms of polynomials in the x_{ijk} .
- We have that

$$f(f(a_i,a_j),a_k)=a_\ell=f(a_i,f(a_j,a_k))$$

when there is exactly one witness to both

$$(\exists a_s)((a_i,a_j,a_s)\in f\wedge (a_s,a_k,a_\ell)\in f)$$

and

$$(\exists a_s)((a_i, a_s, a_\ell) \in f \land (a_i, a_k, a_s) \in f).$$



■ These terms correspond to

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell}$$

and

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} x_{is\ell} x_{jks},$$

respectively.

■ This means that associativity corresponds to having

$$\sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell} - x_{is\ell} x_{jks}) \right)^{2} (\mathbf{A}) = 0.$$

■ We can decompose this polynomial as

$$\sum_{i=j=k=\ell} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell} - x_{is\ell} x_{jks}) \right)^{2} +$$

$$\sum_{i=j=k\neq\ell} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell} - x_{is\ell} x_{jks}) \right)^{2} +$$

$$\sum_{i=j=\ell\neq k} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell} - x_{is\ell} x_{jks}) \right)^{2} +$$

The first term

$$\sum_{i=j=k=\ell} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{ijs} x_{sk\ell} - x_{is\ell} x_{jks}) \right)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} (x_{iis} x_{sii} - x_{isi} x_{iis}) \right)^{2}$$

is counting the number of times that $a_i(a_ia_i) = a_i(a_ia_i) = a_i$ fails to occur.

■ In writing the textbook series les Éléments de mathématique, Bourbaki had sought to lay out in the first text of the series, Theory of Sets a systematic description of mathematical structures as they would appear throughout the rest of the series.

- Basically, they said that a *structure* was a set, say A, equipped with an indexed family $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ of *relations* f_i where each f_i was a subset of a set which could be constructed from A by taking Cartesian products and powersets finitely many times.
- For example, a relation on A might be a subset of

$$A \times \mathsf{Sb}(\mathsf{Sb}(A) \times A^{57}) \times \mathsf{Sb}(\mathsf{Sb}(\mathsf{Sb}(A))).$$

- Bourbaki defined what we would now call morphisms of these structures and proved several results about them, all of which we would now consider to belong to category theory.
- Once Eilenberg and Mac Lane had established category theory Grothendieck and then Cartier were asked to produce a category theory component for the *Éléments*, although if either did their contribution never made it into the texts.

- Discussions in «La Tribu» during the 1950s seem to indicate that Bourbaki felt much of the Éléments would have to be rewritten in order to accommodate the new notions from category theory.
- It appeared to be difficult to synthesize the structural and categorical viewpoints together, so the consensus became that this task was not worth the effort.

Thesis results

- In my thesis I presented one possible categorification of Bourbaki's concept of structure.
- The main result in this case is a generalization of a result of Hilbert on symmetric polynomials to the setting of finite structures.
- This generalization has the perhaps surprising implication that any first-order property of a finite structure A can be checked by counting the number of small substructures B → A, where «small» is a function of the logical complexity of the first-order property.

Thesis results

- As Bourbaki imagined, the setup for this is a little involved and is relegated to an appendix.
- That appendix also contains a Yoneda-style embedding theorem which shows that categories of structures built from a set *A* may always be viewed as having basic relations of the form *A*ⁿ as in model theory.

- Given an index category $\mathscr I$ and categories $\mathscr C$ and $\mathscr D$ we refer to a functor $\rho \colon \mathscr I \to \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr C,\mathscr D)$ as a *presignature*.
- In our examples before, $\mathscr I$ was the trivial category with one object and $\mathscr C=\mathscr D=\mathbf{Set}.$
- For graphs $\rho(\star)$ is the functor $A \mapsto {A \choose 2}$.
- For ternary relational structures (like magmas) $\rho(\star)$ is the functor $A \mapsto A^3$.

■ To each presignature we associate an *extractor*

$$\rho_{_} : \mathscr{C} \to \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{I},\mathscr{D}).$$

■ The *extraction* of ρ at an object A of $\mathscr C$ is a functor

$$\rho_{\mathsf{A}}:\mathscr{I}\to\mathscr{D}.$$

A *signature* is a presignature which supports taking images in a certain sense.

Definition (Signature)

Given a presignature $\rho: \mathscr{I} \to \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D})$ we say that ρ is a $(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D})$ -signature on the index category \mathscr{I} when given any monomorphism $F: U \hookrightarrow \rho_A$ in $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{D})$ and any morphism $h: A \to B$ in \mathscr{C} we have that $\operatorname{Im}(\rho_h \circ F)$ exists in $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{D})$.

■ If \mathscr{D} has all images and \mathscr{I} is discrete then any presignature as above is a signature.

Definition (Structure)

Given a $(\mathscr{C},\mathscr{D})$ -signature ρ on an index category \mathscr{I} and $A\in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$ we refer to a subobject \mathbf{A} of ρ_A in the category $\mathsf{Fun}(\mathscr{I},\mathscr{D})$ as a $(\mathscr{C},\mathscr{D})$ -structure of signature ρ on A (or as a ρ -structure when we want to emphasize the signature).

■ Given a structure **A** on an object A of signature $\rho: \mathscr{I} \to \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D})$ and $N \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathscr{I})$ we refer to the class of morphisms

$$\mathbf{A}_N \coloneqq \{ F_N \mid F \in \mathbf{A} \}$$

in \mathscr{D} as the *relation* of **A** at N.

■ Morphisms of \mathscr{I} similarly give us *relators* between relations.

- Let **A** be a structure on an object A of signature ρ and let **B** be a structure on an object B of signature ρ .
- We say that a morphism $h: A \to B$ is a morphism from **A** to **B** when $h(\mathbf{A}) \leq \mathbf{B}$ as subobjects of ρ_B .
- There is a category \mathbf{Struct}^{ρ} of structures with a given signature.

Definition (Finite signature)

We say that a signature $\rho: \mathscr{I} \to \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Set},\mathbf{Set})$ is *finite* when \mathscr{I} has finitely many objects and finitely many morphisms and for each $N \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{I})$ and each finite set A we have that $\rho_A(N)$ is finite.

Definition (Finite structure)

We say that a structure of finite signature ρ on a finite set is a finite structure.

- We denote by Struct $_A^{\rho}$ the collection of all structures of the same signature on the set A, which we call a *kinship class*.
- The class Struct $^{\rho}$ of all structures with signature ρ is likewise called a *similarity class*.

Definition (Substructure)

Given a structure **A** of signature ρ we refer to a subobject of **A** in **Struct**^{ρ} as a *substructure* of **A**.

- Given a set of variables X the symmetric group Σ_X of permutations of X acts on the corresponding polynomial algebra R[X] for some unital commutative ring R.
- The polynomials invariant under this action are the *symmetric* polynomials, which themselves form an **R**-algebra.
- A classical result of Hilbert is that certain very simple elementary symmetric polynomials generate this algebra of all symmetric polynomials.

Definition (Variables X_A^{ρ})

Given a finite signature ρ on an index category ${\mathscr I}$ and a finite set A we define

$$X_A^{\rho} := \bigcup_{N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{I})} \{ x_{N,a} \mid a \in \rho_A(N) \}.$$

Definition (Monomial y_A)

Given a finite signature ρ on an index category \mathscr{I} , a finite set A, and a structure $\mathbf{A} := (A, F) \in \mathsf{Struct}_A^{\rho}$ we define

$$y_{\mathbf{A}} := \prod_{N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{I})} \prod_{a \in F(N)} x_{N,a}.$$

Definition $((\rho, A)$ polynomial algebra)

Given a commutative ring \mathbf{R} , a finite signature ρ , and a finite set A we define the (ρ,A) polynomial algebra over \mathbf{R} to be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{R}[X_A^{\rho}]$ which is generated by Y_A^{ρ} . We denote this algebra by $\mathbf{Pol}_A^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$.

Definition (Action v)

We define a group action $v: \Sigma_A \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{R}[X_A^{\rho}])$ by setting $(v(\sigma))(x_{N,a}) := x_{N,(\rho_{\sigma}(N))(a)}$ and extending.

Definition (Symmetric polynomial)

A polynomial $p \in \operatorname{Pol}_{A}^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$ is called *symmetric* when for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_{A}$ we have that $(\upsilon(\sigma))(p) = p$.

Definition (Action ζ)

We define a group action $\zeta: \Sigma_A \to \Sigma_{\mathsf{Struct}_A^{\rho}}$ by

$$(\zeta(\sigma))(A,F) := (A, \rho_{\sigma} \circ F).$$

Definition (Isomorphism classes of structures)

We define

$$\mathsf{IsoStr}_{\mathcal{A}}^{
ho} \coloneqq ig\{\, \mathsf{Orb}_{\zeta}(\mathsf{A}) \ ig| \ \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{Struct}_{\mathcal{A}}^{
ho} \,ig\}\,.$$

Definition (Elementary symmetric polynomial)

Given a finite signature ρ , a finite set A, and an isomorphism class $\psi \in \mathsf{IsoStr}_A^\rho$ we define the *elementary symmetric polynomial* of ψ to be

$$s_{\psi} := \sum_{\mathbf{A} \in \psi} y_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

The elementary symmetric polynomials are symmetric polynomials.



Theorem (A. 2022)

Given a polynomial $f \in \operatorname{SymPol}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$ of degree d there exists a polynomial $g \in R[Z_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}]$ of weight at most d such that $f = g|_{Z_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho} = S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\rho}}$.

- The proof is inductive and follows a proof of Hilbert's result.
- We first show that monomials factor as

$$\prod_{i=1}^k y_{\mathbf{A}_i} = y_{\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_i} \mu$$

where $\mu \in \mathsf{Pol}^{\rho}_{A}$.

■ We then induct on the size of the universe A.

■ Supposing we have the result for a universe $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$ and we want to show it for $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ we define

$$A_n := \bigcup_{N \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathscr{I})} \{ x_{N,a} \mid a \in \rho_A(N) \setminus \mathsf{Im}(\rho_\iota(N)) \}$$

to be the collection of variables in X_A^{ρ} depending on a_n .

Since

$$f|_{A_n=0} \in \mathsf{SymPol}^{\rho}_B(\mathbf{R})$$

there exists some $g_1 \in R[Z_B^\rho]$ of weight at most d such that $f|_{A_n=0}=g_1|_{Z_\rho^\rho=S_\rho^\rho}$.



• We conclude by arguing that replacing the monomials appearing in this g_1 with the corresponding monomials over A yields a new polynomial, which we abusively also call g_1 , such that

$$f = (g_1 + g_2)|_{Z_A^{\rho} = S_A^{\rho}}$$

where the additional term g_2 is also a symmetric polynomial.

References

- Charlotte Aten. "Finite Generation of Families of Structures Equipped with Compatible Group Actions." PhD thesis. 2022, p. 61. ISBN: 9798363518454
- Charlotte Aten. "Invariants of structures." In: arXiv e-prints (Feb. 2024). arXiv: 2402.18063 [math.CT]
- Nicolas Bourbaki. *Theory of Sets.* Elements of Mathematics. Spring-Verlag, 2004. ISBN: 978-3-540-22525-6

Thank you!