

More Multiplayer Rock-Paper-Scissors

Charlotte Aten

University of Rochester

2019

RPS as a Magma

We will view the game of RPS as a magma. We let $A := \{r, p, s\}$ and define a binary operation $f: A^2 \rightarrow A$ where $f(x, y)$ is the winning item among $\{x, y\}$.

	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>
<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>s</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>s</i>

Selection Games

A *selection game* is a game consisting of a collection of items A , from which a fixed number of players n each choose one, resulting in a tuple $a \in A^n$, following which the round's winners are those who chose $f(a)$ for some fixed rule $f: A^n \rightarrow A$. RPS is a selection game, and we can identify each such game with an *n -ary magma* $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$.

Properties of RPS

The game RPS is

- 1 conservative,
- 2 essentially polyadic,
- 3 strongly fair, and
- 4 nondegenerate.

These are the properties we want for a multiplayer game, as well.

Properties of RPS: Conservativity

We say that an operation $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ is *conservative* when for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ we have that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. We say that **A** is conservative when each round has at least one winning player.

Properties of RPS: Essential Polyadicity

We say that an operation $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ is *essentially polyadic* when there exists some $g: \text{Sb}(A) \rightarrow A$ such that for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ we have $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$. We say that **A** is essentially polyadic when a round's winning item is determined solely by which items were played, not taking into account which player played which item or how many players chose a particular item.

Properties of RPS: Strong Fairness

Let A_k denote the members of A^n which have k distinct components for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that f is *strongly fair* when for all $a, b \in A$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $|f^{-1}(a) \cap A_k| = |f^{-1}(b) \cap A_k|$. We say that \mathbf{A} is strongly fair when each item has the same chance of being the winning item when exactly k distinct items are chosen for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Properties of RPS: Nondegeneracy

We say that f is *nondegenerate* when $|A| > n$. In the case that $|A| \leq n$ we have that all members of $A_{|A|}$ have the same set of components. If \mathbf{A} is essentially polyadic with $|A| \leq n$ it is impossible for \mathbf{A} to be strongly fair unless $|A| = 1$.

Variants with More Items

The French version of RPS adds one more item: the well. This game is not strongly fair but is conservative and essentially polyadic. The recent variant Rock-Paper-Scissors-Spock-Lizard is conservative, essentially polyadic, strongly fair, and nondegenerate.

	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>w</i>		<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>l</i>
<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>r</i>
<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>l</i>
<i>s</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>w</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>l</i>
					<i>l</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>l</i>

Result for Two-Player Games

The only “valid” RPS variants for two players use an odd number of items.

Proposition

Let \mathbf{A} be a selection game with $n = 2$ which is essentially polyadic, strongly fair, and nondegenerate and let $m := |A|$. We have that $m \neq 1$ is odd. Conversely, for each odd $m \neq 1$ there exists such a selection game.

Definition (PRPS magma)

Let $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$ be an n -ary magma. When \mathbf{A} is essentially polyadic, strongly fair, and nondegenerate we say that \mathbf{A} is a PRPS magma (read “pseudo-RPS magma”). When \mathbf{A} is an n -magma of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with these properties we say that \mathbf{A} is a PRPS(m, n) magma. We also use PRPS and PRPS(m, n) to indicate the classes of such magmas.

Result for Multiplayer Games

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \text{PRPS}(m, n)$ and let $\varpi(m)$ denote the least prime dividing m . We have that $n < \varpi(m)$. Conversely, for each pair (m, n) with $m \neq 1$ such that $n < \varpi(m)$ there exists such a magma.

Definition (RPS magma)

Let $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$ be an n -ary magma. When \mathbf{A} is conservative, essentially polyadic, strongly fair, and nondegenerate we say that \mathbf{A} is an RPS *magma*. When \mathbf{A} is an n -magma of order m with these properties we say that \mathbf{A} is an RPS(m, n) *magma*. We also use RPS and RPS(m, n) to indicate the classes of such magmas.

α -action Magmas

Definition (α -action magma)

Fix a group \mathbf{G} , a set A , and some $n < |A|$. Given a regular group action $\alpha: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Perm}(A)$ such that each of the k -extensions of α is free for $1 \leq k \leq n$ let $\Psi_k := \left\{ \text{Orb}(U) \mid U \in \binom{A}{k} \right\}$ where $\text{Orb}(U)$ is the orbit of U under α_k . Let $\beta := \{\beta_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ be a sequence of choice functions $\beta_k: \Psi_k \rightarrow \binom{A}{k}$ such that $\beta_k(\psi) \in \psi$ for each $\psi \in \Psi_k$. Let $\gamma := \{\gamma_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ be a sequence of functions $\gamma_k: \Psi_k \rightarrow A$ such that $\gamma_k(\psi) \in \beta_k(\psi)$ for each $\psi \in \Psi_k$. Let $g: \text{Sb}_{\leq n}(A) \rightarrow A$ be given by $g(U) := (\alpha(s))(\gamma_k(\psi))$ when $U = (\alpha_k(s))(\beta_k(\psi))$. Define $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ by $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) := g(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$. The α -action magma induced by (β, γ) is $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$.

α -action Magmas are RPS Magmas

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be an α -action magma induced by (β, γ) . We have that $\mathbf{A} \in \text{RPS}$.

Definition (Regular RPS magma)

Let \mathbf{G} be a nontrivial finite group and fix $n < \varpi(|G|)$. We denote by $\mathbf{G}_n(\beta, \gamma)$ the L -action n -magma induced by (β, γ) , which we refer to as a *regular RPS magma*.

A Game for Three Players

0	0	1	2	3	4	1	0	1	2	3	4	2	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	4
1	1	1	0	0	4	1	1	1	2	1	4	1	0	2	2	1	1
2	0	0	0	2	4	2	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	2	2	3	2
3	3	0	2	3	3	3	0	1	1	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	2
4	0	4	4	3	0	4	4	4	1	3	4	4	4	1	2	2	2

3	0	1	2	3	4	4	0	1	2	3	4
0	3	0	2	3	3	0	0	4	4	3	0
1	0	1	1	1	3	1	4	4	1	3	4
2	2	1	3	3	2	2	4	1	2	2	2
3	3	1	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	4
4	3	3	2	4	4	4	0	4	2	4	4

Functions Exhibiting Essential Polyadicity

U	0	1	2	01	12	20
$g(U)$	0	1	2	0	1	2

RPS

U	0	1	2	01	12	23	34	40	02	13	24	30	41
$g(U)$	0	1	2	1	2	3	4	0	0	1	2	3	4
U	012	123	234	340	401	013	124	230	341	402			
$g(U)$	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4			

RPS(5, 3) example

Hypergraphs

Definition (Pointed hypergraph)

A *pointed hypergraph* $\mathbf{S} := (S, \sigma, g)$ consists of a hypergraph (S, σ) and a map $g: \sigma \rightarrow S$ such that for each edge $e \in \sigma$ we have that $g(e) \in e$. The map g is called a *pointing* of (S, σ) .

Definition (n -complete hypergraph)

Given a set S we denote by \mathbf{S}_n the *n -complete hypergraph* whose vertex set is S and whose edge set is $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \binom{S}{k}$.

Hypertournaments

Definition (Hypertournament)

An n -hypertournament is a pointed hypergraph $\mathbf{T} := (T, \tau, g)$ where $(T, \tau) = \mathbf{S}_n$ for some set S .

U	0	1	2	01	12	23	34	40	02	13	24	30	41
$g(U)$	0	1	2	1	2	3	4	0	0	1	2	3	4
U	012	123	234	340	401	013	124	230	341	402			
$g(U)$	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4			

RPS(5, 3) example

Hypertournament Magmas

Definition (Hypertournament magma)

Given an n -hypertournament $\mathbf{T} := (T, \tau, g)$ the *hypertournament magma* obtained from \mathbf{T} is the n -magma $\mathbf{A} := (T, f)$ where for $u_1, \dots, u_n \in T$ we define

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_n) := g(\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}).$$

Definition (Hypertournament magma)

A *hypertournament magma* is an n -magma which is conservative and essentially polyadic.

Tournaments

- Tournaments are the $n = 2$ case of a hypertournament.
- Hedrlín and Chvátal introduced the $n = 2$ case of a hypertournament magma in 1965.
- There has been a lot of work on varieties generated by tournament magmas. See for example the survey by Crvenković et al. (1999).

Class Containment Relationships

Proposition

Let $n > 1$. We have that $RPS_n \subsetneq PRPS_n$, $RPS_2 \subsetneq Tour_n$, and neither of $PRPS_n$ and $Tour_n$ contains the other. Moreover, $RPS_n = PRPS_n \cap Tour_n$.

A Generation Result

Theorem

Let $n > 1$. We have that $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{R}_n$. Moreover \mathcal{T}_n is generated by the class of finite regular RPS_n magmas.

Proof.

Every finite hypertournament can be embedded in a finite regular balanced hypertournament. □

Counting Regular RPS Magmas

Theorem

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq 1$ and $n < \varpi(m)$. Given a group \mathbf{G} of order m we have that

$$|\text{RPS}(\mathbf{G}, n)| = \prod_{k=1}^n k^{\frac{1}{m} \binom{m}{k}}.$$

Automorphisms

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$ be a regular RPS magma. There is a canonical embedding of \mathbf{G} into $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$.

Exceptional Automorphisms

Proposition

For each arity $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq 1$ and each group \mathbf{G} of composite order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n < \varpi(m)$ there exists a regular RPS(m, n) magma $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$ such that $|\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{A})| > |\mathbf{G}|$.

Proposition

For each arity $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each odd prime p such that $1 \neq n \leq p - 2$ there exists a regular RPS(p, n) magma $\mathbf{A} := (\mathbb{Z}_p)_n(\lambda)$ such that $|\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{A})| > |\mathbf{G}|$.

No Exceptional Automorphisms

Proposition

For each odd prime p and any $\lambda \in \text{Sgn}_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ we have that $\mathbf{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}_p)_{p-1}(\lambda)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Corollary

Given an odd prime p the number of isomorphism classes of magmas of the form $(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{p-1}(\lambda)$ is

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{\frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{k} - 1}.$$

For $p = 3$ we have 1, for $p = 5$ we have 6, and for $p = 7$ we have 2073600.

Congruences

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ for a regular RPS(m, n) magma $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$. Given any $a \in A$ we have that $a/\theta = aH$ for some subgroup $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$.

λ -convex subgroups

Definition (λ -convex subgroup)

Given a group \mathbf{G} , an n -sign function $\lambda \in \text{Sgn}_n(\mathbf{G})$, and a subgroup $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$ we say that \mathbf{H} is λ -convex when there exists some $a \in G$ such that $a/\theta = aH$ for some $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda))$.

λ -convex subgroups

Proposition

Let \mathbf{G} be a finite group of order m and let $n < \varpi(m)$. Take $\lambda \in \text{Sgn}_n(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$. The following are equivalent:

- 1 The subgroup \mathbf{H} is λ -convex.
- 2 There exists a congruence $\psi \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda))$ such that $e/\psi = H$.
- 3 Given $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $b_1, \dots, b_k \notin H$ either $e \rightarrow \{b_1 h_1, \dots, b_k h_k\}$ for every choice of $h_1, \dots, h_k \in H$ or $\{b_1 h_1, \dots, b_k h_k\} \rightarrow e$ for every choice of $h_1, \dots, h_k \in H$.

λ -convex subgroups

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K} \leq \mathbf{G}$ are both λ -convex. We have that $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{K}$ or $\mathbf{K} \leq \mathbf{H}$.

Definition (λ -coset poset)

Given $\lambda \in \text{Sgn}_n(\mathbf{G})$ set

$$P_\lambda := \{ aH \mid a \in G \text{ and } \mathbf{H} \text{ is } \lambda\text{-convex} \}$$

and define the λ -coset poset to be $\mathbf{P}_\lambda := (P_\lambda, \subset)$.

Lattices of Maximal Antichains

Dilworth showed that the maximal antichains of a finite poset form a distributive lattice. Freese (1974) gives a succinct treatment of this. Given a finite poset $\mathbf{P} := (P, \leq)$ let $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{P})$ be the lattice whose elements are maximal antichains in \mathbf{P} where if $U, V \in L(\mathbf{P})$ then we say that $U \leq V$ in $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{P})$ when for every $u \in U$ there exists some $v \in V$ such that $u \leq v$ in \mathbf{P} .

Theorem

We have that $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)) \cong \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{P}_\lambda)$.

A Family of Simple Magmas

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ for a prime p and $n < p$. There exists a $\lambda \in \text{Sgn}_n(\mathbf{G})$ for which $\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$ is simple.

A Family of Simple Magmas

Proof.

Order the nontrivial subgroups of \mathbf{G} as $\mathbf{H}_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{G}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ choose a coset $a + H_i$ of H_i other than H_i itself which lies in H_{i+1} . Choose another element $b \in a + H_i$ with $b \neq a$. Set $\lambda(\{a, -a\}) := a$ and $\lambda(\{b, -b\}) := -b$. We have that \mathbf{H}_i is not λ -convex for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. It follows that $\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$ has no nontrivial proper λ -convex subgroups for this choice of λ so $\mathbf{G}_n(\lambda)$ is simple. \square

Thank you.