

Universal algebra and lattice theory  
Week 1  
Examples of algebras

Charlotte Aten

2020 September 3

# Today's topics

- Quick review of the definition of an algebra
- Magmas
- Semigroups
- Monoids
- Groups
- Rings
- Modules
- Quasigroups
- Semilattices
- Lattices
- $n$ -ary magmas

# Definition of an algebra

Operations are rules for combining elements of a set together to obtain another element of the same set.

## Definition (Operation, arity)

Given a set  $A$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{W}$  we refer to a function  $f: A^n \rightarrow A$  as an  $n$ -ary operation on  $A$ . When  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary operation on  $A$  we say that  $f$  has *arity*  $n$ .

Algebras are sets with an indexed sequence of operations.

## Definition (Algebra)

An *algebra*  $(A, F)$  consists of a set  $A$  and a sequence  $F = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  of operations on  $A$ , indexed by some set  $I$ .

# Magmas

- An algebra  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  with a single binary operation is called a *magma*.
- This is the Bourbaki terminology. These algebras are also known as *groupoids* and *binars*, but the term «groupoid» has also become attached to a different concept in category theory.
- When the set  $A$  is finite we can represent the basic operation  $f: A^2 \rightarrow A$  as a finite table, called a *Cayley table* or *operation table* for  $f$ .

# Magmas

$f$	$r$	$p$	$s$
$r$	$r$	$p$	$r$
$p$	$p$	$p$	$s$
$s$	$r$	$s$	$s$

Figure: A Cayley table for a binary operation  $f$

The above table defines a binary operation  $f: A^2 \rightarrow A$  where  $A := \{r, p, s\}$ . For example,  $f(r, p) = p$ . The magma  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  is the *rock-paper-scissors magma*.

# Magmas

$\cdot$	$r$	$p$	$s$
$r$	$r$	$p$	$r$
$p$	$p$	$p$	$s$
$s$	$r$	$s$	$s$

Figure: A Cayley table for a binary operation  $\cdot$ .

We usually use *infix notation* for binary operations. For example, instead of  $f(x, y)$  we write  $x \cdot y$ . Any other symbol, such as  $+$ ,  $*$ , or  $\circ$ , will work as well, but some have special connotations, such as  $+$  usually referring to a commutative operation.

# Magmas

	$r$	$p$	$s$
$r$	$r$	$p$	$r$
$p$	$p$	$p$	$s$
$s$	$r$	$s$	$s$

Figure: A Cayley table for a binary operation

Going even further, we often use *concatenation notation* when there is only a single operation under consideration. We may write  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  or  $\mathbf{A} := (A, \cdot)$  to define the rock-paper-scissors magma, then just write  $rp = p$  rather than  $f(r, p) = p$  or  $r \cdot p = p$ . (Naturally concatenation notation is my favorite, since it contains a version of my name.)

# Magmas

- When the universe  $A$  of a magma  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  is infinite (or even just very large) it is easier to specify  $f$  by way of some rule rather than writing out its Cayley table.
- For example, we can take  $A := \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_{27})$  to be the set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over the field with 27 elements. We can then define an operation  $f: A^2 \rightarrow A$  by  $f(\alpha, \beta) := \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$ . This operation  $f$  has a finite Cayley table, but writing it out would take a lot of space. The algebra  $(A, f)$  is a magma.
- For an infinite example, take the magma  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  where  $+$  is defined in the usual way for natural numbers.



# Semigroups

- A *semigroup* is a magma  $(S, \cdot)$  which satisfies the associative law

$$x \cdot (y \cdot z) \approx (x \cdot y) \cdot z.$$

- We write  $\mathbb{Z} := \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  to indicate the set of integers.
- We have that  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{W}, +)$ , and  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  are all semigroups. Also,  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  is a subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{W}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{W}, +)$  is a subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .
- We have that  $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, but it is not a subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{W}, +)$ .

# Monoids

- A *monoid* is an algebra  $\mathbf{M} := (M, \cdot, e)$  such that  $(M, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $e: M^0 \rightarrow M$  is a nullary operation such that  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfies the laws

$$x \cdot e \approx x \text{ and } e \cdot x \approx x.$$

- We have that  $(\mathbb{W}, +, 0)$  is a monoid, as is  $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot, 1)$ .
- An important example is the *full transformation monoid*  $(A^A, \circ, \text{id}_A)$  whose universe  $A^A$  consists of the set of all functions from a given set  $A$  to itself, whose binary operation  $\circ$  is function composition, and whose constant operation «is» the identity map  $\text{id}_A: A \rightarrow A$  given by  $\text{id}_A(a) := a$  for each  $a \in A$ .

What's the deal with that «squiggly  
equals sign»  $\approx$ ?  
Is something being approximated?

## What's the deal with that «squiggly equals sign» $\approx$ ?

Is something being approximated?

An expression like  $x(yz) \approx (xy)z$  stands for an *identity*, which is shorthand for the statement «For all possible values of  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  we have that  $x(yz) = (xy)z$ .». This statement is true in some magmas (the semigroups), but is false in other ones, like the rock-paper-scissors magma. We won't get too technical about it until much later, so don't dwell on it for now.

## An aside about signatures

- We gave a strict definition for the notation  $(A, f_1, \dots, f_k)$  last time. We said that this was shorthand for  $(A, F)$  where  $F := \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .
- The signature of such an algebra is the function  $\rho: I \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  taking each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  to the arity of  $\rho(i)$ .
- Since a function  $\rho: \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  is a  $k$ -tuple of whole numbers, we say that the signature of  $(A, f_1, \dots, f_k)$  is  $(\rho(1), \rho(2), \dots, \rho(k))$ .
- We will introduce algebras by saying things like «Consider an algebra  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f, g, \star, +, u, 1)$  of signature  $(25, 7, 2, 2, 1, 0)$ .».

# Groups

- A *group* is an algebra  $\mathbf{G} := (G, \cdot, _{-}^{-1}, e)$  such that  $(G, \cdot, e)$  is a monoid and  $_{-}^{-1}: G \rightarrow G$  is a unary operation such that  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

$$x \cdot x^{-1} \approx x^{-1} \cdot x \approx e.$$

- We have that  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0)$  is a group. According to our definition here  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is actually neither a group nor a monoid because it doesn't have the right signature, although it is a semigroup (and hence a special kind of magma).
- An important example is the *permutation group*  $\mathbf{Perm}(A) := (\text{Perm}(A), \circ, _{-}^{-1}, \text{id}_A)$  whose universe  $\text{Perm}(A)$  consists of the set of all bijections from a given set  $A$  to itself, whose binary operation  $\circ$  is function composition, whose unary operation  $_{-}^{-1}$  is given by taking the inverse function, and whose nullary operation «is» the identity map  $\text{id}_A$ .

- A *ring* is an algebra  $\mathbf{R} := (R, +, \cdot, -, 0)$  such that  $(R, +, -, 0)$  is an abelian group,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, and the identities

$$x \cdot (y + z) \approx (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)$$

and

$$(y + z) \cdot x \approx (y \cdot x) + (z \cdot x)$$

hold.

- The algebra  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, -, 0)$  with the usual definition of  $\cdot$  for  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring.
- A point we haven't stressed too much until now is that the order of the basic operations matters. The algebra  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot, +, -, 0)$  is different from  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, -, 0)$  and is not a ring according to our definition, even though both of these algebras have the signature  $(2, 2, 1, 0)$ .

- Given a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  a (left)  $\mathbf{R}$ -module is an algebra

$$\mathbf{M} := (M, +, -, 0, \{\lambda_r\}_{r \in R})$$

such that  $(M, +, -, 0)$  is an abelian group, for each  $r \in R$  we have that  $\lambda_r$  is unary, and for each  $r, s \in R$  we have that the laws

$$\lambda_r(x + y) \approx \lambda_r(x) + \lambda_r(y),$$

$$\lambda_{r+s}(x) \approx \lambda_r(x) + \lambda_s(x),$$

and

$$\lambda_r(\lambda_s(x)) \approx \lambda_{rs}(x)$$

hold.



- We didn't follow either of our existing rules for specifying the sequence of basic operations for an algebra in the preceding definition. It is a little tedious, but not difficult, to carefully formalize what we just did.
- The similarity type of an  $\mathbf{R}$ -module depends on the ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , in contrast with the previous examples. If  $R$  is an infinite set then an  $\mathbf{R}$ -module has infinitely many basic operations.

# Quasigroups

- A *quasigroup* is an algebra  $\mathbf{Q} := (Q, \cdot, /, \backslash)$  of signature  $(2, 2, 2)$  which satisfies the laws

$$x \backslash (x \cdot y) \approx y,$$

$$(x \cdot y) / y \approx x,$$

$$x \cdot (x \backslash y) \approx y,$$

and

$$(x / y) \cdot y \approx x.$$

# Quasigroups

- Given a group  $\mathbf{G} := (G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e)$  the algebra  $(G, \cdot, /, \backslash)$  where  $x/y := x \cdot y^{-1}$  and  $x \backslash y := x^{-1} \cdot y$  is a quasigroup.
- Just as we often think of groups as being magmas with a particular type of binary operation (from which we can obtain the unary and nullary operations of the group), so too can we think of quasigroups as magmas with a particular type of binary operation (from which we can obtain the other two).
- A *Latin square*  $\mathbf{Q} := (Q, \cdot)$  is a magma such that for all  $a, b \in Q$  the equations

$$a \cdot x = b \text{ and } y \cdot a = b$$

have unique solutions.

- Quasigroups and Latin squares are in bijective correspondence, as we can take  $x = a \backslash b$  and  $y = b/a$  in the preceding equations.

# Quasigroups

- Not all quasigroups come from the previous construction using groups.
- The algebra  $(\mathbb{Z}, -)$  is a Latin square whose corresponding quasigroup does not arise from a group operation in this way.
- We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of *real numbers*. Fixing some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $x \cdot y$  to be the midpoint of the segment joining  $x$  and  $y$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The algebra  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$  is a Latin square.
- Quasigroup operations are typically not associative. Quasigroups are «nonassociative groups».
- Quasigroups with an identity element are called *loops*.

# Semilattices

- A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup  $\mathbf{S} := (S, \cdot)$  which satisfies the identity

$$x \cdot x \approx x.$$

- Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\min(a, b)$  and  $\max(a, b)$  be the minimum and maximum of  $\{a, b\}$ , respectively. Both  $(\mathbb{Z}, \min)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}, \max)$  are semilattices.
- Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\gcd(a, b)$  and  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  be the greatest common divisor and least common multiple of  $\{a, b\}$ , respectively. Both  $(\mathbb{N}, \gcd)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, \text{lcm})$  are semilattices.
- Both  $(\text{Sb}(A), \cap)$  and  $(\text{Sb}(A), \cup)$  are semilattices for any given set  $A$ .

- A *lattice* is an algebra  $\mathbf{L} := (L, \wedge, \vee)$  such that  $(L, \wedge)$  and  $(L, \vee)$  are semilattices and the identities

$$x \wedge (x \vee y) \approx x \text{ and } x \vee (x \wedge y) \approx x$$

hold.

- We have that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \min, \max)$ ,  $(\mathbb{N}, \gcd, \text{lcm})$ , and  $(\text{Sb}(A), \cap, \cup)$  are lattices.
- In some of the earliest work which laid the foundations for lattice theory, Dedekind considered the lattice of subgroups of an abelian group  $\mathbf{A}$  under the operations of intersection and internal direct sum.

# $n$ -ary magmas

- Weren't we going to see algebras with all sorts of crazy  $n$ -ary operations for  $n > 2$ ? Where are those?
- Historically people seem to more frequently produce and study binary operations.
- An algebra  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  of signature  $(n)$  is called an  *$n$ -ary magma*.

## $n$ -ary magmas

- An algebra  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  of signature  $(n)$  is called an  $n$ -ary magma.
- Fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  define  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  to be the determinant of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1,1} & \cdots & x_{n-1,n} \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where the  $e_i$  are standard basis vectors. The operation  $f$  is the  $n$ -dimensional cross product and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, f)$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -ary magma.



- An algebra  $\mathbf{A} := (A, f)$  of signature  $(n)$  is called an  *$n$ -ary magma*.
- There are also  $n$ -ary analogues of groups and quasigroups which have received quite a bit of study.